



General Meeting Minutes

Date: Saturday 7 June 2014

Time: 8.00pm

Location: Blue Shades conference room Maryborough

Present

President: David Axon



Secretary/Treasurer: Mick Dallmann



Visitors: Andrew Arapakis, Rob Carol, Jack Hickey

Apologies: Trevor Henderson, Bruce deChastel, Chris Callow

Opening: The President declared the meeting open at **8.05pm**

Secretary:

- Previous Minutes from the General Meeting at Munro 8 June 2013 as presented were moved for acceptance by: Gary Davidson
 - Seconded: Vern Gibson
 - Against: Nil
Carried
- Correspondence as presented (per the attached register) moved for acceptance by the Secretary
 - Seconded: Chris Watt
 - Against: Nil
Carried

Treasurer:

- Financial report for the year ending 31st May 2014 as presented (per the attached report) moved for acceptance by the Treasurer and all payments passed for payment.
 - Seconded: Toni Axon
 - Against: Nil
Carried
- Account Balance as at 31st May 2014: \$1947.68

President:

The President noted that Pylon has had a reasonable year both in Victoria and Queensland we don't ever get many from NSW, it is a shame that there seem to be a lot of people flying sport type pylon there but are not wanting to travel to compete at this level preferring to stay around the Sydney area.

We are now starting the first of the 3 World Champs team trails and it has been a late decision but we now know that the 2015 World Champs will be held at Olomouc, Czech Republic, 7th – 11th July 2015. A reminder that the selection process for this WC commences with this AMPRA event then the competitors home State Champs and a third event schedule for Cohuna 4th & 5th October. Remember if you don't have a state champs or cannot attend your own you need to nominate to the AMPRA committee which you will intend to use beforehand. Also that both QLD and VIC State Champs are on the same weekend 2nd & 3rd August. As per our usual practice we have nominated for the 3 team trial system. As a bit of background the MAAA standard is for a single team trial event but as AMPRA manage the selection process nominate our preferred 3 trial method each selection cycle. This was devised by Robyn Gray some years ago and has served us well as we find that it gives us our best overall team by allowing for our

top competitors to drop their worst round in the event they have some bad luck or are unable to attend a meeting.

We now have these 2 extra classes (F3R(a) and F3T) that the group from Victoria had not seen yet and it will be good to get a take on how they go at this meeting.

Something that I really want to convey today is that we in Pylon are constantly under attack from all areas including from within the Aeromodelling community and within our own national organisation. This includes perceptions about noise and safety issues and are mostly built on fear because they have not any experience with Pylon and he believes some jealousy over what we have achieved and the support we are given. Now we have to stop any infighting that we have particularly when this goes out to the world over Social Media and the like. A perfect example of this is shown by what happened a few years ago when there were images circulated over the net of an Italian Pylon plane hitting and piercing a steel pylon pole. In response to the outcry over perceived safety issues the Italian Aeromodelling group banned all pylon events for a year. You have to remember that when it comes to implementing safety and noise rules and the like there are many people on the CAIM that do not know of or understand pylon that get to vote on these things, many more than those that do understand. The message is if you have a problem try to keep it within the Pylon community. Do not go and post things to social media that are in effect airing our dirty laundry.

The other organisations are also constantly attacking MAAA and anything that effects our relationship with FAI directly relates to our operations within the world group so please be aware of this when it comes to this issue at your club.

The next MAAA rule change cycle will come around in 2 years time and latest news from MAAA is that they have presently disbanded all Technical Subcommittees as they were largely not doing anything and not required. The message from MAAA was that is if they required any input from a discipline they would reactivate the subcommittee process at that time. This does not affect the operations of the Special Interest Groups and won't particularly affect us and we will likely just reactivate the same people for any review or updates at the next rules cycle.

Business Arising:

John Hughan Trophy:

A member (Gary Davidson) requested clarification of the wording recorded in last year's minutes in regards to the John Hughan Trophy. The question was posed has there been a change to the wording is it the "John Hughan Memorial Trophy" or the "John Hughan Trophy" as read from the minutes. The President responded it is called the "John Hughan Trophy" as recorded in the AMPRA 2013 General Meeting Minutes.

Impacts on approved Radios:

A member (Gary Davidson) asked if the disbanding of the technical subcommittees had an impact on approval of radios for Australia. The President responded that he had contacted the MAAA Secretary on this issue and was advised that MAAA will no longer maintain a list of approved radios and that the new ACMA (Australian Communications and Media Authority) policy simply states that any radios used must be compliant with the standards set out in the class licence and this now puts the onus on the user to make sure their radio is within the specifications.

Next AMPRA meeting:

The Secretary commented that there had been no submissions for the AMPRA event scheduled for 2015.

A member (Ranjit Phelan) commented that he was of the belief that there had been a resolution made that if no nominations are received either prior or at the meeting the event would default to Cohuna for that year. The president commented that he did not believe so and the Secretary commented that he thought the only default was that Cohuna would host every second team trial. The President conceded that if there were no submissions from this meeting that this could occur. A member (Gary Davidson) suggested that this is no different to any club hosting a pylon event we would first have to approach them if they would like to host the event it is not a foregone conclusion.

The Secretary then commented that bearing in mind that while next year may not be an F3D team selection trial, if the motion being put forward in general business at this meeting for "AMPRA to take responsibility for F5D team selections" is successful, next year's AMPRA event will be at team selection for F5D and this could attract more interstate participation.

A member (Barry Murphy) commented that Munro field would be available for the 2015 AMPRA event.

A Motion was put to the meeting: "The 2015 AMPRA event be held at Munro field subject to VMPRA agreeing to run the event"

- Moved by: Chris Watt
- Seconded by: Vern Gibson
- Against: Nil
- Carried

General Business:

Note: The President reminded those present at the meeting that only current AMPRA members were able to vote. There is nothing stopping visitors (non members) participating in the discussion but the vote on AMPRA business will need to be restricted to current members only.

Agenda items:

- **F5D**

Motion from Mick Dallmann: "AMPRA to take on responsibility for F5D including the team selection process and inclusion at AMPRA events."

The president commented that to this point Bruce deChastel had just been looking after this as an individual and the intention is to bring this aspect of Pylon in to the fold. He had been in contact with the MAAA secretary to ask if F5D was currently aligned with any special interest group perhaps electric and the response was that MAAA had no knowledge of this.

A member (Karl Harrod) asked if F5D would be flown in the schedule in the off selection year as well. The president responded that yes this was the intention.

A member (Vern Gibson) enquired if the F5D team trials will be best of 3 events as with F3D. The President responded that at this stage it will only be the single event but that could change in the future with increased participation. The current F5D team members present Tyler Mees and Beau Murphy indicated that one event was ok with them and the secretary commented that Bruce had also indicated to him that was his preference also. The Secretary also commented that presently the main competitors are also contesting the F3D selection events but this may shift in the future.

A member (Brian Steele) asked if there was a consideration being made to drop an event (like Q500) to accommodate F5D? The President responded no he wouldn't think so. A member (Karl Harrod) Asked if F5D would be run concurrently with another class like F3D. The President suggested that a heat of F5D could be added to another class such as Q500 and the rounds could be progressed as such and this would also give the competitors time between races for charging etc. A member (Steve Taylor) commented that this is how QMARA have been currently incorporating F5D into their comps and the same as what will be happening with the F3T and F400 tomorrow at this event. The Secretary then commented that as the F5D team selection events were in the off year to the F3D team selection events the entries in F3D that year will likely be smaller so this will give more time in the schedule across the weekend. A member (Warren Mees) suggested that as most if not all of the pilots in F5D would likely enter in F3D as well at the comp it is a little hard to manage for the pilot and caller so would like to see F5D not flown concurrently with F3D but another, class ideally Q500. There was some ensuing discussion on how this could be facilitated. The President commented that this could be looked at with each event on merits of entries. The Secretary commented that we would also have to take into consideration as we do with F3D that when it is a team selection event that class takes precedence in that case it is flown first in case of

weather or other interruptions and that we should also allow for the same 9 rounds that we do for F3D team selection events.

A member (Tyler Mees) suggested that we consider implementing some relaxed rules for F5D such as limiters, in order to un-complicate the class for the new person and encourage so new participation. The president suggested that it may be a consideration but those in contention for the WC team will need to be fully compliant with the F5D class rules. A member (Steve Taylor) suggested that if the F5D rules mandate such things as limiters we should be running them. The president suggested that it may be ok to run other set-ups if not contesting team selection. The same member said no I mean regardless of team selection. A member (Gary Davidson) suggested that if we are talking electric it should be an all electric day. The President suggested that while we are in the infancy with this class we will need to incorporate it with other pylon classes and we will need to utilise the helpers that are already there for the concurrent class. A member (Toni Axon) commented that the President may have missed the point that a member (Steve Taylor) was trying to make earlier, that we shouldn't mess around with the class rules and should start off with them as set down right from the start. The member (Steve Taylor) added that we shouldn't need to mess with the rules to get people in as there are other classes to get started in pylon with and as far as costs go you can get a \$30 Hobby King Motor that Tyler has been running and get a good start relatively cheaply. A member (Karl Harrod) suggested that it is fraught with danger to start messing with the rules cause where does it then stop how long can a setup be used etc. A member (Steve Taylor) then added that you then also have to police it so it is just better off to start with the set rules from the word go. The President conceded that it was probably better to not tamper with the rules.

The motion was re-read: "AMPRA to take on responsibility for F5D including the team selection process and inclusion at AMPRA events."

- Moved by: Mick Dallmann
- Seconded by: Tyler Mees
- Against: Nil
Carried

- **World Champion eligibility to participate in the National team**

Motion from David Axon: to replace the existing wording in relation to the highlighted section of rule (5.1.1.14.6 Eligibility to participate in a trial) that pertains to a current World Champion.

The President explained that he was not entirely happy with the wording of this part of the team selection rules at last year's meeting. He saw it as messy and wanted to tighten it up.

Proposed new wording:

"The Australian World Champion in F3D will attend the World Championships to defend his title and not be part of the Australian team. The only time the champion can be part of the team will be when team places cannot be filled by other Australian members."

To replace the existing wording:

If an Australian is the current World Champion, to qualify for team selection that person must declare in writing 2 weeks in advance of the first team selection contest that he/she will participate as a member of the Australian team if he/she qualifies.

The President said that his proposal is that he goes as the defending World Champion and that is it. A member (Steve Taylor) responded why should a World Champion be denied the right to be on their national team, it doesn't seem right that someone who has won a World Champs can be told they can't be on the team or can't even try for the team. The President commented that we are in the position of having enough competitors to send a team regardless. A member (Kevin Callow) responded that as this was directed at them as defending World Champions he noted that every time they have won the title they have gone back as defending Champion even though they have still qualified for the team most of those times and have still gone back to the WC as defending Champs. So last year the rule was changed because there was so much crap going around and he agreed with that. But the trouble that he has with this is that he believed the AMPRA President should have contacted them two weeks prior to the first team selection event to inform them of the rule and that wasn't done and he considered this not very fair to them. And he does not agree with this as he and Chris spend a lot of time in the workshop preparing and a lot of time practicing and then go to the events and set up under the Australian flag and they do well and are lucky enough to win World Champs then we come back to Australia and are told they can't even qualify and go on the team as a team member. And this year we have been denied the opportunity because we were not informed of the rule until two days ago. He stated to the President that he was extremely annoyed that as the reigning World Champ the AMPRA President should have made contact to inform of this. He then said the he believed that it was un-Australian to take away the right to represent their country. The President responded that he was not saying that he wanted to deny them the right to be on the team but whomever we may have as a World Champion and it was not a personal thing. It is that he believed we should send the World Champion to defend his title and then also a full team. The member (Kevin Callow) commented that in his opinion MAAA would not be happy with it and he had spoken to MAAA about what happened last year. A member (Chris Watt) commented that in his opinion we should never limit the capacity to select the best team available and it would be better to have more irons in the fire for the national team. The President acknowledged that indeed without the reigning World Champion the team was a bit weaker. The member (Chris Watt) commented that had Chris been on the team at the last World Champs Australia would have won. A visitor (Joe Luxford) asked if a country ever sent a defending Champ as part of their team? A member (Kevin Callow) responded that Netherlands had Robert Van Den Bosch as defending WC and part of their team in 2011 in Bundaberg. The same visitor then asked if the intent was that the defending champ does attend only as such? The President responded that this has never been said or written, CAIM invite the reigning WC to defend their title but do not stipulate that they cannot be on their national team. The Secretary commented that he was aware of a motion that Bruce deChastel was considering putting up through the Sub-Committee for submission at CIAM. It was in line with what they are currently doing for the Junior entry whereby a country with a Junior effectively has a four man team where they can then count their

top 3 scores. Bruce would like to put up that a country with a reigning Champ could do the same and perhaps given a junior as well count their top 3 of perhaps a 5 person team. This would also have the effect of increasing event participation. The members present agreed that this idea had some good merit and was worth pursuing to a higher level.

The President reminded the meeting of the motion at hand and asked for a seconder. There was no response so the president declared that the motion had lapsed.

New Business:

More on F5D:

A member (Gary Davidson) enquired what the date was for the F5D team trial. The President responded that it was at the AMPRA event scheduled for Queens Birthday weekend 2015. The member expressed concern about fitting it into the program. There was some discussion on this and it was agreed that running it concurrently with another class would facilitate this into the program. The Secretary suggested that being in Victoria it could be run with F400 as none of the current team members compete in this class. The President commented that it could also be Q500 for that matter.

CD Instructions from this event:

A member (Ranjit Phelan) spoke in relation to instructions provided by the CD at the start of this event where it was stated that if a competitor pulled out of the race and quit his engine the timekeepers would score the race as a DNF and give a 200 and he would like to see where this was written in the rules. A member (Kevin Callow) (QMARA CD) commented that this isn't in the rules but was instruction to avoid conflict or misinterpretation. The member (Ranjit Phelan) suggested that a competitor is quite within their rights to to pull up high and complete the course even if the engine has quit regardless of how high because that is his right. The Member (Kevin Callow) responded that that is related to what happened at Dalby (2010/11 Nat's/selection event) where Adam Argus and him (Ranjit) pulled out of the race early and Adam pulled out of the race on lap 9 and Adam completed a really high lap that the timers didn't see. The member (Ranjit Phelan) interjected and said no he quit his engine because he heard the caller say no don't quit oh too late and added he quit his engine straight after he (Ranjit) did. The member (Kevin Callow) replied yes he did quit his engine and then he went up high and completed the last lap and the timers did not see this. The member (Ranjit Phelan) stated that after the race he looked at the thing and it had two 9 laps and then a person from his crew went to you (motioned to Kevin Callow) and heard you say keep timing Ranjit and delete Adams score. The member (Kevin Callow) responded he did not say that, he reminded the timers to keep timing as the race had not finished. The member (Ranjit Phelan) then asked why was Adams score deleted and the member (Kevin Callow) replied they had missed the last lap. The member (Ranjit Phelan) asked again but why did you delete his score to which the member (Kevin Callow) responded the timers had missed Adams last lap what did you want me to do make up a time and put that in, but that isn't right it can't be done that way. The member (Ranjit Phelan) then commented that he got a 1min 52 and you (motioning to Kevin Callow) deleted

Adams time, he went out on 9 laps and quit his engine and then you deleted his time so he gets a rerun. The member then stated again that you (motioning again to Kevin) told me that you deleted Adams time. The member (Kevin Callow) responded that the clock was still running as they (the timer) had missed the last lap and we can't just make up a time. Adding that he had finished the last lap and the timer whoever it was had missed it and the clock was still running. The member (Ranjit Phelan) then stated again that his (Adams) engine had stopped and another member (Chris Watt) then commented that it was irrelevant that his engine had stopped the timer had missed the final lap. The member (Kevin Callow) commented that this shouldn't have gone on social media for the world to see either, that will have to stop, he added that you (referring to Ranjit) first started by accusing Chris and himself, Brenden Podlick and the Mees of copying his (Ranjit's) pipe and their pipes are nothing like his and then went on to make accusations about an event held over 3 years ago. There was some ensuing argumentative discussion to which the President called the meeting to order.

A member (Gary Davidson) then commented that in his opinion in the case that the lap button was not pushed to record the final lap and the clock was still running it did constitute a rerun to which the meeting agreed.

A member (Karl Harrod) commented that what he has also observed that some pilots are cutting across the course after they pull out of the race and the people on the poles don't know that the race is finished and could give them cuts. A member (Jim Orenshaw) commented that in Victoria they now have a lap counter in the cage so they know when the race is completed. It was confirmed that this is also the case with the QMARA system as well.

Junior Team selection trial confirmation:

A member (Barry Murphy) asked for confirmation in regards to the number of team trial events required for the junior qualification is it this single event or two of three as with the seniors? The President responded that as far as he is aware and in the absence of any other rules or resolutions it is two of three as per the seniors. A member asked if this was the case previously and the Secretary responded that for the first Junior WC in 2011 it was a single AMPRA event as it was a last minute notification that Juniors were to be included and only one event remained at that time. For the 2013 WC Matt was the only eligible Junior that had competed in any of the selection events in that year. This hasn't been discussed and was somewhat of an oversight for this event and due to the circumstances of the previous Junior selection processes so all we can really go on is our current senior process. It was suggested that we now use this opportunity to confirm the process for the future.

A member (Kevin Callow) commented that in his opinion the single team trial was problematic for Juniors as it would disadvantage some that could not travel to a long distance event and with a two from three it allows better for this. A member (Gary Davidson) commented that we needed to keep in mind that our decision at this meeting could in effect determine the qualifier considering the state of the competition so far and also that if there was someone out there that couldn't come to this event they would still have an opportunity to compete for the position if it continues past the single event. A member (Daniel Arapakis) commented that his preference was for a two from three because some people don't have the helpers. The president commented that a

two from three approach has worked well for the senior qualification so far so it should serve well for the juniors as well. A member (Barry Murphy) commented that we just need to get it nailed down for the future so everyone knows. A visitor (Andrew Arapakis) commented that they had travelled up for this event thinking that this was the one and only. A member (Kevin Callow) suggested that perhaps we should go with a single team trial event for this year and then confirm the two from three from the next team selection onwards. A member (Daniel Arapakis) commented that as it was half way through the event now he is going with his dad's comments. A member (Barry Murphy) commented that in his opinion Graham and Joseph Black would appreciate another chance. A member (Tyler Mees) commented that Graham and Joseph Black believe that it was a two form three process. The Secretary then informed Daniel and Andrew Arapakis that if we did vote for a two from three starting at this selection onwards the impact on them would be that they had two remaining events that would be in their home state Victoria being the State Champs in August and the Special Selection event at Cohuna in October. A visitor (Joe Luxford) commented that we should consider what would give us the best opportunity at the next World Champs.

The motion "The Junior team selection will follow the same process as the for the seniors starting from this event"

The President reminded the meeting that current AMPRA members only should vote on this.

- Moved by: Ranjit Phelan
 - Seconded by: Chris Watt
 - Votes for: 15
 - Votes against: 5
 - Abstained: 4
- Carried

A member (Barry Murphy) commented that in his opinion we made a mistake a couple of years ago by not nailing this down properly. A visitor (Andrew Arapakis) commented that it is not good to do this half way through the event. The President replied that he has no argument with this and it was remiss but we must move on.

Conclusion:

The president asked if anyone had any further business to discuss. There being no further business he concluded by thanking everyone for making the effort to attend the event and the meeting and that he was very happy with the good roll up. Thank you for nominating us again to manage AMPRA. The next event will be the Special F3D Team Trial at Cohuna run by VMPRA and then the AMPRA event at Munro subject to VMPRA agreeing to run which will be nominated as the team selection event for the next F5D World Champs.

The Secretary commented it was great to again see two such impressive juniors in a great competition for the team and this augers well for our future to which there was a round of applause from the meeting.

Closure: The President declared the meeting closed at :4.07pm

Endorsed: David Axon: *DAxon*

Dated: 07 / 06 / 2014

AMPRA Inc. FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Opening Balance at 1st June 2013		2291.15
Income		
	Membership	340.00
	Entry fees AMPRA	560.00
	interest	0.30
	Sub Total	900.30
Expenditure		
	Website	129.50
	2013 Trophies	277.30
	2014 Trophies	306.97
	Donation Barry's field	350.00
	VMPRA trailer towing	180.00
	Sub total	1243.77
Closing Balance 31st May 2014		1947.68



General Meeting Attendance Register

Date of Meeting: 07/06/2014

Location: Maryborough

Elected by the President

date: 7.07.14

Name	Signature
Frank Harold	F Harold
Daniel Adams	[Signature]
STEVEN TAYLOR	[Signature]
KARL HARROD	[Signature]
WARREIN MYERS	[Signature]
Rob Williams	[Signature]
ROBERT [unclear]	[Signature]
[unclear]	[Signature]
BARRY MURPHY	[Signature]
Tyler Mees	[Signature]
Jack Hickey	[Signature]
BEAU MURPHY	[Signature]
Brian Steele	[Signature]
VERNON WILSON	[Signature]
GARY DAVIDSON	[Signature]
Jim [unclear]	[Signature]
Toni Axon	Toni Axon
Alex Day	[Signature]
e. [unclear]	[Signature]
LEIGH HOCKEN	[Signature]

Joe Luxford

[Signature]

Toni Axon
withheld
[Signature]



Presented and accepted at AMPRA General Meeting

date: 07/06/2014

Endorsed by the President

DAVID AXSON

Incoming Correspondence Register 2013-14

Item Number	Date Received	Type	From	Subject	Attachments	Action	Action Date
1	5/11/2013	Email	Glenn Matthews	Payment due - AMPRA.org.au			
2	11/11/2014	Email	Glenn Matthews	Account overdue - AMPRA.ORG.AU			
3	14/01/2014	Email	Kevin Callow	RE: Question regarding the 2014 F3D team selection events			
4	14/01/2014	Email	Glenn Matthews	RE: Question regarding the 2014 F3D team selection events			
5	8/02/2014	Email	Blue Shades Motel	Booking Confirmation			
6	9/02/2014	Email	Kevin Callow (OMARA)	AMPRA Schedule classes		Reply and distribute to members	10/02/2014
7	10/02/2014	Email	Chris Watt	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)		Reply	10/02/2014
8	12/02/2014	Email	Alan Taylor	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Membership fees)		Reply	13/02/2014
9	13/02/2014	Email	Glenn Matthews	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)		Reply	14/02/2014
10	13/02/2014	Email	Andrew Davies	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)		Reply	14/02/2014
11	14/02/2014	Email	Andrew Davies	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)		Reply	14/02/2014
12	18/02/2014	Email	Andrew Davies	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)		Reply	18/02/2014
13	18/02/2014	Email	OMARA	AMPRA Schedule classes (suggested amendment)			
14	22/05/2014	Email	Trevor Henderson	AMPRA News (Bulletin 5 for 2014) (Meeting Apology)			
15	22/05/2014	Email	Joe Luxford	Query regarding Q500 rules		Reply	22/05/2014
16	29/05/2014	Email	Budget Calour Coptes	Trophy Mugs (Invoice and proofs)	1	Reply	29/05/2014
17	3/06/2014	Email	TAG Solutions	Glass Trophies proofs		Reply	3/06/2014
18	5/06/2014	Email	Bruce deCharstell	RE: AMPRA News (Bulletin 6 for 2014) Meeting Agenda (Apology and Proxy)			
19	5/06/2014	Email	Chris Callow	RE: AMPRA News (Bulletin 6 for 2014) Meeting Agenda (Apology and Proxy)			
20	5/06/2014	Email	Bronte Zadow	Fee Payment receipt			



Presented and accepted at AMPRA General Meeting

date: 07/06/2014

Endorsed by the President

DAVID AKON

D. Akon

Outgoing Correspondence Register 2013-14

Item Number	Date	Type	TO	Subject	Sent by	Attachments or Links	Follow up	Follow up Date
1	14/09/2013	Email	Key Contacts	Question regarding the 2014 F3D team selection events	Secretary			
2	16/09/2013	Email	MAAA	Notification of F3D team selection events for 2015 WC	Secretary			
3	14/01/2014	Email	AMPRA email list	F3D Team selection events in 2014	Secretary	1 Notice		
4	10/02/2014	Email	OMARA	AMPRA Schedule classes	Secretary			
5	10/02/2014	Email	AMPRA email list	AMPRA News	Secretary			
6	10/02/2014	Email	Chris Watt	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)	Secretary			
7	13/02/2014	Email	Allan Taylor	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Membership)	Secretary			
8	14/02/2014	Email	Glenn Matthews	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)	Secretary			
9	14/02/2014	Email	Andrew Davies	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)	Secretary			
10	18/02/2014	Email	Andrew Davies	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)	Secretary			
11	18/02/2014	Email	Andrew Davies	RE: AMPRA News (Question regarding Classes)	Secretary			
12	20/02/2014	Email	AMPRA email list	AMPRA News (Schedule Change)	Secretary			
13	19/02/2014	Email	AMPRA email list	AMPRA News (Cops Correction)	Secretary			
14	22/05/2014	Email	Joe Luxford	Query regarding Q500 rules	Secretary			
15	22/05/2014	Email	AMPRA email list	AMPRA News (Bulletin 5 for 2014)	Secretary			
16	29/05/2014	Email	Budget Colour Copies	RE: Trophy Mugs (Confirmation)	Secretary			
17	3/06/2014	Email	TAG Solutions	RE: Glass Trophies proofs (Confirmation)	Secretary			
18	4/06/2014	Email	AMPRA email list	AMPRA News (Bulletin 6 for 2014) Meeting Agenda	Secretary	1 Proxy form		
19	5/06/2014	Email	AMPRA email list	AMPRA News (Bulletin 6 for 2014) more event details	Secretary			



Current Standing resolutions

To track resolutions that may not be recorded as association or competition rules

Item Number	Meeting Date	Motion / Resolution	Moved/Seconded Votes	Any associated actions	Active date Date
1	?????	Competitors that enter in Q500 only at the AMPRA event are not required to pay membership and join AMPRA	?????	Ongoing	?????
2	?????	In the event that no nominations are received for an AMPRA event, Cohuna will be the default location and approached to host the event	?????	Ongoing	?????
3	?????	F3D Team Selection events will alternate between Queensland and Victoria with Cohuna being the location for Victorian selection events.	?????	Ongoing	?????
4	8/06/2013	The First place trophy for FA1 at the annual AMPRA events will be named the John Hughan trophy	?????	Ongoing	8/06/2013
5	7/06/2014	AMPRA to take on responsibility for F5D including the team selection process and inclusion at AMPRA events	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moved by: Mick Dallmann Seconded by: Tyler Mees Against: Nil 	Ongoing	7/06/2014
6	7/06/2014	The Junior team selection will follow the same process as the for the seniors starting from AMPRA 2014	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moved by: Ranjit Phelan Seconded by: Chris Watt Votes for: 15 Votes against: 5 Abstained: 4 	Ongoing	7/06/2014
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					